

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
EASTERN DIVISION**

JULIUS ANDREWS

PETITIONER

v.

2:08CV00009 WRW/HDY

**T.C. OUTLAW, Warden, FCI
Forrest City, Arkansas**

RESPONDENT

ORDER

Petitioner Julius Andrews commenced this proceeding by filing a pleading alleging that he is being denied “camp placement” and the opportunity to transfer to a “low facility” close to his home. He also filed motions for preliminary injunction and to expedite a response from respondent T.C. Outlaw.¹

United States Magistrate Judge H. David Young recommended that the motions be denied. The recommendation was adopted, and Andrews’s motions for preliminary injunction and to expedite a response from Outlaw were denied. Now pending is what I will consider a motion for reconsideration,² which asserts that Andrews’s objections to the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation were not considered before entering the May 6, 2008 Order.

I have again reviewed the recommended disposition, objections, and May 6, 2008 Order. The May 6, 2008 erroneously claimed that Andrews’s objections were not considered; the objections were indeed considered, and have again been considered. Accordingly, the Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. No. 35) is DENIED.

IT IS ORDERED this 27th day of May, 2008.

/s/ Wm. R. Wilson, Jr.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹See Doc. Nos. 15 and 22.

²See Doc. No. 35.